



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,807	04/09/2004	M. Bret Schneider	STAN-200	9053
7590	01/08/2008		EXAMINER	
STALLMAN & POLLOCK LLP			GILBERT, SAMUEL G	
Attn: Kathleen A. Frost			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 2200			3735	
353 Sacramento Street			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
San Francisco, CA 94111			01/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/821,807	SCHNEIDER ET AL.
	Examiner Samuel G. Gilbert	Art Unit 3735

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on papers of 10/17/2007.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/28/2005, 4/7/2005, 10/5/2004

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of group I, claims 1-21, in the reply filed on 10/17/2007 is acknowledged.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: intra-pulse frequency of claims 8 and 18 is not set forth in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor or carrying out his invention.

Claims 4, 8, 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. It is unclear how the current is adjusted as claimed. It is unclear what an intra-pulse frequency is and how it is determined.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4, 8, 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 4 and 14 - it is unclear how the current is adjusted with respect to the inverse square of the distance as claimed.

Claims 8 and 18 - "inter-pulse frequency" is unclear to the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 5-7, 9-12, 15-17 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fox et al (2003/0050527, hereinafter Fox).

Claim 1 - moving a coil and applying current to the coil at a plurality of locations is set forth in paragraph [0086] last sentence.

Claim 2 - the examiner is taking adjusting the "orientation" as "adjusting the position" as claimed. Applicant's attention is invited to paragraph [0085].

Claim 5 - current is not applied when a magnetic field would be directed to areas to be avoided, paragraph [0122].

Claim 6 - duration is set, paragraph [0122].

Claim 7 - the pulse rate includes inter-pulse interval and is location dependent, paragraph [0122]

Claim 9 - the selecting the speed of movement is inherently required when moving through a treatment zone or between different treatment sites. Applicant's attention is invited to paragraph [0098].

Claim 10 - one or more coils may be used, applicant's attention is invited to the abstract.

Claim 11 - the coil is a TMS coil.

Claim 12 - moving a coil and applying current to the coil at a plurality of locations is set forth in paragraph [0086] last sentence. The examiner is taking adjusting the "orientation" as "adjusting the position" as claimed. Applicant's attention is invited to paragraph [0085].

Claim 15 - current is not applied when a magnetic field would be directed to areas to be avoided, paragraph [0122].

Claim 16 - duration is set, paragraph [0122].

Claim 17 - the pulse rate includes inter-pulse interval and is location dependent, paragraph [0122]

Claim 19 - the selecting the speed of movement is inherently required when moving through a treatment zone or between different treatment sites. Applicant's attention is invited to paragraph [0098].

Claim 20 - one or more coils may be used, applicant's attention is invited to the abstract.

Claim 21 - the coil is a TMS coil.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fox et al (2003/0050527, hereinafter Fox) in view of Muntermann (6,461,289).

Fox teaches a method as claimed but does not teach adjusting the current to produce a constant magnetic field at the target. Muntermann teaches a method of magnetic therapy including applying a constant magnetic field and an alternating magnetic field to the target location. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the medical arts at the time the invention was made to include additionally providing a constant magnetic field through separate coils, at the target of Fox to gain the advantage of combined constant magnetic field and varying magnetic field therapy as taught by Muntermann.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patents and Patent Application Publications 2002/0007128, 20050148808, and 6,849,040 teach related magnetic therapy devices and methods.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel G. Gilbert whose telephone number is 571-272-4725. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor II can be reached on 571-272-4730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Samuel G. Gilbert/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3735

Samuel G. Gilbert
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3735